Response ID ANON-W4DP-NRSX-A

Submitted to Safer Junctions - East India Dock Road, Canton Street and Birchfield Street Submitted on 2019-07-24 18:17:44

Our proposals

1 Overall, how safe do you think our proposals will make people feel when travelling through the area in the following ways?

Overall, how safe do you think our proposals will make people feel when travelling through the area in the following ways? - Walking:

Overall, how safe do you think our proposals will make people feel when travelling through the area in the following ways? - Cycling: A lot safer

Overall, how safe do you think our proposals will make people feel when travelling through the area in the following ways? - Using public transport:

Overall, how safe do you think our proposals will make people feel when travelling through the area in the following ways? - Using motor vehicles for personal or business journeys:

Comments:

2 Please let us know if the proposals would have a positive or negative impact on you or the journeys you make. Please explain how, and how we could mitigate or reduce any negative impacts.

Comments:

- This response comes from London Living Streets. Overall we welcome the wider pedestrian crossings that are proposed, changing the existing staggered crossing to a wide straight across crossing with a countdown facility, shared by pedestrians and cyclists as long as there clear delineation of this space for people on foot. We strongly support the no entry or exit for general traffic, except for cyclists, between Birchfield Street and East India Dock Road and the proposal that access to Birchfield Street would be from West India Dock Road.
- We would express the following main concern that the principles being used for Birchfield St are not also being used for Canton St. We object in particular to the retention of three parking spaces that then requires people on bicycles to share the footway with people on foot. It would be easier if this space between East India Dock Road and Farrance St was also closed to vehicular traffic which would allow adequate space to be created for people cycling to not have to mix with people on foot.
- We would prefer a separate paralled cycle and pedestrian crossing to minimise conflict between the two modes rather than a mixed toucan crossing.
- 3 Are you responding as (please tick all that apply):

Not local, but interested in the proposals

4 How often, on average, do you travel using each of these forms of transport?

How often, on average, do you travel using each of these forms of transport? - Walking:

How often, on average, do you travel using each of these forms of transport? - Cycling:

How often, on average, do you travel using each of these forms of transport? - Using public transport:

How often, on average, do you travel using each of these forms of transport? - Using a motor vehicle for a personal or business journey:

About you

5 If responding on behalf of an organisation, business or campaign group, please provide us with the name:

Organisation:

London Living Streets

6 What is your name?

Name:

Jeremy Leach

7 What is your email address?

Email

jeremyleach@posteo.net

8 Please provide us with your postcode?

Postcode: SE17 3EQ
9 How did you find out about this consultation?
Received an email from TfL
Other:
10 What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)?
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Website structure & ease of finding what you needed: Very good
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Written information: Very good
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Maps, images & related diagrams: Very good
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Online survey format: Very good
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Website accessibility: Very good
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Events & drop-in sessions:
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Promotional material:
Do you have any further comments about the quality of the consultation material?:
Equality Monitoring
11 Gender:
Male
12 Ethnic Group:
White – British
13 Age:
56-60
14 Sexual Orientation:
Not Answered
15 Religious faith:
Not Answered

16 Are your day-to-day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12

No

months? (Please include problems related to old age)