Response ID ANON-Y3WH-DWVV-J

Submitted to Safer Junctions - Kennington Park Road and Braganza Street Submitted on 2019-07-24 18:11:10

Our proposals

1 Overall, how safe do you think our proposals will make people feel when travelling through the area in the following ways?

Overall, how safe do you think our proposals will make people feel when travelling through the area in the following ways? - Walking: Safer

Overall, how safe do you think our proposals will make people feel when travelling through the area in the following ways? - Cycling: Safer

Overall, how safe do you think our proposals will make people feel when travelling through the area in the following ways? - Using public transport:

Overall, how safe do you think our proposals will make people feel when travelling through the area in the following ways? - Using motor vehicles for personal or business journeys:

Comments:

2 Please let us know if the proposals would have a positive or negative impact on you or the journeys you make. Please explain how, and how we could mitigate or reduce any negative impacts.

Comments:

- This response comes from London Living Streets. While some of these changes are welcome, they hide two more significant issues which, if tackled, could lead to a far more transformational change across this area. Firstly, stakeholder groups have been arguing for many years now that the whole of the CS7 route remains unchanged from its original design and remains of inadequate quality with little or no segregation. It is vital that this is upgraded throughout its route as a matter of urgency. Secondly, there are significant problems with through traffic across the East and West Walworth areas owing to motor vehicles seeking to avoid the Elephant & Castle. A series of interventions (potentially linked in with the Walworth Low Emission Neighbourhood scheme) are needed that will remove through traffic from a series of junction onto and off Kennington Park Road namely at i) Kennington Park Place/Cooks Road, ii) Braganza St and iii) Penton Place. It is also important too to consider the considerable impact that HGVs and fast moving through traffic are having to residents on John Ruskin St to the south.
- In terms of detail, we propose that:
- all pedestrian crossings should be minimum 5.0m wide. To support a 20mph limit in the longer term, they should be raised to footway level with colour contrasting surfacing.
- The remaining footway width (on the north side of the junction where the cycle bypass is proposed) should be min 2.5m wide after reduction to introduce the cycle bypass.
- There needs to be zebra marked footway level crossing on the cycle bypass to provide priority for pedestrians crossing the bypass.
- The digital advertising board should be removed from the centre of the footway here.
- The remaining inset parking bay should be at the level of footway and for loading only at restricted times.
- To increase cycling safety and reduce vehicle speeds, the bus lanes in this area should operate for longer than they do currently (especially in the light of the very poor design of CS7) and preferably 24/7.
- We propose no alteration in the cycle times of this junction which currently operate well for the extremely large numbers of pedestrians crossing to Kennington Tube Station.
- 3 Are you responding as (please tick all that apply):

A local resident, Someone who currently walks in the area, Someone who currently cycles in the area, Someone who uses public transport in the area

4 How often, on average, do you travel using each of these forms of transport?

How often, on average, do you travel using each of these forms of transport? - Walking: 4-6 days a week

How often, on average, do you travel using each of these forms of transport? - Cycling: 2-3 days a week

How often, on average, do you travel using each of these forms of transport? - Using public transport:

2-3 days a week

How often, on average, do you travel using each of these forms of transport? - Using a motor vehicle for a personal or business journey:

About you

5 If responding on behalf of an organisation, business or campaign group, please provide us with the name:

Organisation:

London Living Streets

6 What is your name?
Name: Jeremy Leach
7 What is your email address?
Email: jeremyleach@posteo.net
8 Please provide us with your postcode?
Postcode: SE17 3EQ
9 How did you find out about this consultation?
Received an email from TfL
Other:
10 What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)?
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Website structure & ease of finding what you needed: Very good
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Written information: Very good
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Maps, images & related diagrams: Very good
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Online survey format: Very good
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Website accessibility: Very good
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Events & drop-in sessions:
What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Promotional material:
Do you have any further comments about the quality of the consultation material?:
Equality Monitoring
11 Gender:
Male
12 Ethnic Group:
White – British
13 Age:
56-60
14 Sexual Orientation:
Not Answered
15 Religious faith:

16 Are your day-to-day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 months? (Please include problems related to old age)

No